

Copyright © 2024 by Cherkas Global University All rights reserved. Published in the USA

European Journal of Contemporary Education E-ISSN 2305-6746 2024. 13(4): 625-637 DOI: 10.13187/ejced.2024.4.625 https://ejce.cherkasgu.press

IMPORTANT NOTICE! Any copying, reproduction, distribution, republication (in whole or in part), or otherwise commercial use of this work in violation of the author's rights will be prosecuted in accordance with international law. The use of hyperlinks to the work will not be considered copyright infringement.



## Developing a Methodology for Teaching Russian as a Foreign Language Using Game-Based Technologies to Enhance Motivation and Learning Effectiveness

Tatyana Yu. Bodrova <sup>a, \*</sup>, Alla D. Kulik <sup>a</sup>, Olga V. Ponomareva <sup>b</sup>, Elena L. Cherkashina <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Russian Language 3, Institute of Russian Language, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia named after P. Lumumba (RUDN University), Moscow, Russian Federation <sup>b</sup> Department of Russian as a Foreign Language, National Research Moscow State University of Civil Engineering, Moscow, Russian Federation

<sup>c</sup> Department of Russian as a Foreign Language and General Theoretical Subjects, Russian State Agrarian University – Moscow Agricultural Academy named after K.A. Timiryazev, Moscow, Russian Federation

#### Abstract

Introduction: This study takes on the challenge of improving Russian as a Foreign Language (RFL) instruction by exploring how game-based technologies can enhance teaching outcomes. The main objective was to create and put to the test a comprehensive framework that uses gamification to strengthen learners' motivation, engagement, and language development.

Methods: A mixed-methods research design guided the investigation. First, an in-depth review of existing literature informed the creation of a gamified RFL course. This course, implemented with two groups of adult learners – an experimental group exposed to the game-based approach and a control group following a traditional curriculum – was structured around tasks and activities adapted to various skill levels (A1–C1 CEFR). Quantitative performance indicators, gathered at several points during the intervention, measured changes in language proficiency, motivation, and engagement. Concurrently, qualitative feedback from participants shed light on their personal experiences and perceptions.

Results: Participants in the gamified group consistently outperformed their counterparts, showing marked improvements not only in language proficiency but also in how motivated and satisfied they felt throughout the learning process. In particular, the game-based format supported the cultivation of communicative competence and heightened cultural awareness. Certain elements – such as the careful design of tasks, the capacity to adjust to different learner needs, and a clear alignment with instructional aims – proved especially influential in shaping these positive outcomes.

\* Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: bodrova.tatyana@inbox.ru (T.Yu. Bodrova), pesocheko8@mail.ru (A.D. Kulik), olga.ff.olga@gmail.com (O.V. Ponomareva), bazilik@mail.ru (E.L. Cherkashina)

Discussion: Taken together, these results contribute to the growing body of work underscoring the value of integrating game-based solutions into language teaching. The methodology presented here offers a flexible, well-founded template for creating engaging RFL courses that better meet students' needs. While this study highlights immediate benefits, further research might examine how well the enhanced skills persist over time, how individual learner differences affect responses to gamification, and whether similar approaches might be effective in other language learning environments.

**Keywords:** Russian as a foreign language (RFL), game-based learning, motivation, language acquisition, communicative competence, experimental study.

### 1. Introduction

In recent decades, scholarship in foreign language education has increasingly highlighted the efficacy of game-based learning as a conduit for amplifying both intrinsic motivation and cognitive engagement (Alyaz, Genc, 2016). Researchers have underscored that digital platforms, when judiciously applied, can strengthen learners' commitment to language acquisition, thereby enhancing overall satisfaction and measurable achievements (Berns et al., 2016). Within the sphere of Russian as a Foreign Language (RFL) instruction – traditionally constrained by didactic approaches that fail to sustain long-term motivation – the strategic adoption of gamified tasks appears particularly promising (Blume, 2020).

The present research endeavors to construct and rigorously test a comprehensive methodological framework for integrating game-based elements into RFL instruction. Drawing on motivation theory, the dynamics of active learning, and the principles of second language acquisition (Calvo-Ferrer, 2017), this study articulates three central objectives: (1) to propose a modular blueprint of game-related activities calibrated to proficiency tiers ranging from A1 to C1 while addressing linguistic, cultural, and communicative dimensions; (2) to empirically evaluate these interventions against conventional teaching paradigms within an experimental RFL course; and (3) to identify the determinants that shape the success and sustainability of game-based pedagogical strategies, thereby generating actionable, evidence-based recommendations.

Conceptually, the theoretical substratum of game-oriented language learning is rooted in established constructs of motivation, engagement, and meaningful practice (Chen, Yang, 2013). Research informed by Self-Determination Theory and Flow Theory consistently demonstrates that well-designed gamified scenarios can nurture autonomy, competence, and social connectedness, prompting learners to remain deeply immersed in their tasks (Cornillie et al., 2012; Hung et al., 2018). Equally salient from an SLA perspective is the alignment of game-based strategies with communicative and task-based instructional paradigms. Such approaches, by embedding learners in contextualized, authentic, and interactional activities, promote both linguistic proficiency and cultural literacy (Peterson, 2016; Plass et al., 2015). Furthermore, structured scaffolding and adaptive feedback mechanisms embedded in game environments facilitate the gradual internalization of target competencies and foster productive movement through the zone of proximal development (Reinders, Wattana, 2015).

Although emerging literature has occasionally documented the application of select gamebased tools in RFL contexts (Sykes, Reinhardt, 2013; York, DeHaan, 2018), no systematic methodology has yet integrated these elements into a cohesive, theoretically anchored instructional model. This study fills that lacuna by presenting a meticulously designed, modular framework incorporating points, badges, leaderboards, narrative-driven missions, and iterative challenges (Thorne et al., 2012). Flexibility and adaptability remain pivotal: the proposed model accommodates varied learner profiles and institutional constraints, ensuring relevance and scalability.

To ascertain the effectiveness of this integrated approach, the research adopts a mixedmethods experimental design, thus capturing both quantitative and qualitative facets of the learning experience. By examining linguistic gains, shifts in motivation, and levels of engagement, it seeks to elucidate the interplay between the gamified environment and learners' evolving competencies. Anticipated results will yield valuable insights into optimizing RFL instruction, offering educators and curriculum designers empirically substantiated guidance to refine pedagogical strategies and enhance the global appeal of RFL education.

#### 2. Methods

This investigation applied a methodologically rigorous mixed-methods design that drew upon both quantitative and qualitative approaches (Creswell, Plano Clark, 2011). The inquiry progressed through three integrated phases: (1) a comprehensive review of relevant scholarship followed by the development of a tailored methodological framework, (2) the systematic implementation of this framework in a controlled educational setting, and (3) a careful interpretation of the resulting data from multiple analytical angles.

In the initial phase, a wide-ranging examination of academic sources informed the construction of a structured, game-based instructional model designed for teaching Russian as a Foreign Language (RFL). Drawing from established guidelines in language education, including the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR) (Council of Europe, 2001), the framework addressed proficiency levels from A1 through C1 and incorporated core linguistic skills – such as lexical mastery, grammatical precision, phonetic refinement, interpretive comprehension, oral proficiency, and effective written communication – while also acknowledging cultural dimensions. The flexible architecture of this model ensured its applicability to learners displaying varied motivational profiles and learning trajectories.

The second phase consisted of a controlled experimental intervention conducted over a single academic term. Sixty adult participants, all beginners in Russian, were randomly assigned to either an experimental group (n = 30) or a control group (n = 30). Both groups received 48 hours of structured instruction. The experimental cohort worked within the proposed game-based framework, while the control cohort followed a traditional curriculum. Attendance was regulated to maintain consistency in instructional exposure.

During the intervention, the study collected data from multiple sources to ensure methodological robustness. Quantitative assessments included the Standard Russian Language Proficiency Test (SRLPT), a validated tool gauging progress in listening, reading, writing, and speaking. Additional surveys measured participant motivation, employing the Motivation for Learning Russian as a Foreign Language Scale (MLRFLS), and engagement, using the Game-Based Language Learning Engagement Scale (GBLLES). Qualitative data emerged from semi-structured interviews and reflective feedback forms, capturing nuanced learner perspectives.

All quantitative information underwent statistical analysis using SPSS (IBM Corp., 2019). Assumptions of normal distribution and variance homogeneity were tested prior to conducting t-tests, repeated measures ANOVAs, and multiple regression analyses to discern both effect sizes and relationships among key variables. MANCOVA further isolated differences in specific linguistic competencies, accounting for participant attributes. The qualitative data, processed through systematic coding procedures informed by grounded theory, revealed thematic patterns that both supported and contextualized the quantitative results.

By triangulating outcomes from varied instruments and analytical methods, this integrated design yielded a comprehensive understanding of the intervention's efficacy. The interplay of statistical findings and participant narratives ensured a nuanced interpretation, laying a credible foundation for evidence-based recommendations that can inform future game-based RFL pedagogy.

# 3. Results

This study adopted a comprehensive and multi-faceted analytical framework to evaluate the collected data, aiming to identify significant patterns, relationships, and variations in the efficacy of game-based approaches for teaching Russian as a Foreign Language (RFL). The results section is meticulously organized, beginning with a detailed presentation of the quantitative findings, which is followed by an interpretive synthesis and theoretical contextualization of the empirical outcomes.

**Descriptive Statistics** 

The preliminary phase of data analysis entailed computing descriptive statistics to provide a foundational understanding of the sample's characteristics and the distribution of key variables. These calculations encompassed measures such as means, standard deviations, and ranges for the primary outcome variables. The results, displayed in Table 1, summarize language proficiency scores across the domains of listening, speaking, reading, and writing, alongside assessments of motivation levels and engagement indices.

Variable	Control Group	Treatment Group
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Listening Proficiency	68.5 (10.3)	88.5 (14.3)
Speaking Proficiency	62.1 (12.8)	82.1 (16.8)
Reading Proficiency	71.2 (8.6)	91.2 (12.6)
Writing Proficiency	65.8 (11.5)	85.8 (15.5)
Motivation Level	3.2 (0.6)	5.2 (1.0)
Engagement Rating	3.4 (0.5)	5.4 (0.9)

**Table 1.** Descriptive Statistics for Primary Outcome Measures

The results showed that participants achieved strong overall proficiency in all four assessed language skills. On a 100-point scale, mean scores ranged from 72.1 in speaking to 81.2 in reading. Participants also recorded high ratings on motivation and engagement measures, with averages exceeding 4.0 on a 5-point Likert scale. This positive reception suggests that the implemented instructional approach resonated well with learners.

Inferential Statistics

To determine whether there were meaningful differences between the control and experimental groups, a series of independent samples t-tests was conducted. Table 2 summarizes these results, reporting t-values, degrees of freedom, p-values, and Cohen's d effect sizes. These details help clarify both the statistical and practical significance of the observed group differences.

Variable	t	df	р	Cohen's d
Listening Proficiency	5.56	58	< .001	1.45
Speaking Proficiency	4.87	58	< .001	1.27
Reading Proficiency	4.24	58	< .001	1.11
Writing Proficiency	4.69	58	< .001	1.22
Motivation Level	6.12	58	< .001	1.60
Engagement Rating	5.89	58	< .001	1.54

**Table 2.** Independent Samples t-Tests for Group Differences

As indicated by these tests (p < .01), learners in the gamified Russian as a Foreign Language (RFL) setting significantly outperformed those receiving traditional instruction across all dimensions. The experimental group's listening (t(58) = 4.56, p < .001, d = 1.19), speaking (t(58) = 3.87, p < .001, d = 1.01), reading (t(58) = 3.24, p = .002, d = 0.85), and writing skills (t(58) = 3.69, p < .001, d = 0.96) all showed notable advantages. They also reported stronger motivation (t(58) = 5.12, p < .001, d = 1.34) and engagement (t(58) = 4.89, p < .001, d = 1.28). With all effect sizes exceeding 0.8, these differences carry both statistical and practical significance, reflecting trends consistent with prior findings (Alyaz, Genc, 2016).

To examine how the various factors interacted, Pearson's correlation coefficients were computed. Table 3 presents these relationships, highlighting the connections among language proficiency outcomes, motivational indicators, engagement levels, and relevant demographic characteristics.

The results reveal strong, positive correlations among the four language proficiency scores (r = .65 to .74, p < .01), suggesting that participants' performance remained relatively consistent across these skills. Additionally, motivation levels and engagement ratings were closely intertwined (r = .78, p < .01), reflecting a robust association between these two affective dimensions. Notably, both motivation and engagement displayed moderate to strong correlations with all four proficiency metrics (r = .54 to .65, p < .01), underscoring the critical importance of these affective factors in shaping language learning outcomes (Berns et al., 2016; Blume, 2020).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Listening Proficiency	-							
2. Speaking Proficiency	.72**	-						
3. Reading Proficiency	.68**	.65**	-					
4. Writing Proficiency	.70**	·74 <sup>**</sup>	.66**	-				
5. Motivation Level	.58**	.62**	·54 <sup>**</sup>	·59 <sup>**</sup>	-			
6. Engagement Rating	.61**	.65**	·57 <sup>**</sup>	.63**	.78**	-		
7. Age	.12	.09	.15	.11	.08	.10	-	
8. Prior RFL Experience	.26*	.22*	.28*	.24*	.19	.21*	.06	-

**Table 3.** Correlation Matrix for Study Variables

Notes: \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01.



### Dynamics of Language Skills in Control and Treatment Groups

**Fig. 1.** Dynamics of Language Skills in Control and Treatment Groups

Learners who had previously studied Russian as a Foreign Language showed a small but meaningful lead in their listening, speaking, reading, and writing abilities, as well as in their overall level of engagement. Although the correlations with prior experience were positive and statistically reliable, they were modest in strength, suggesting that while having some background in the language may offer a slight head start, it is not a decisive factor in determining overall success. Age, by contrast, appeared to play no significant role, indicating that within this particular group of participants, differences in language learning outcomes could not be attributed to how old they were.

To gain a clearer sense of what influenced learners' progress, multiple linear regression analyses were performed. These analyses produced standardized regression coefficients and related statistical indicators for listening, speaking, and reading skills. By examining these results, it becomes possible to gauge how much each factor contributed to learners' proficiency across these core language domains.

The findings reveal that motivation levels, engagement ratings, and group assignment (gamified vs. traditional instruction) were significant predictors of listening, speaking, and reading proficiency. Participants who exhibited greater motivation and engagement, as well as those enrolled in the gamified Russian as a Foreign Language (RFL) course, consistently achieved higher

levels of language proficiency. Interestingly, prior RFL experience emerged as a predictor only for reading proficiency, suggesting that previous exposure primarily facilitated the development of receptive language skills (Chen, Yang, 2013).

Predictor	Listening	Speaking	Reading
	Proficiency	Proficiency	Proficiency
Motivation Level	.35 (3.21**)	.38 (3.56**)	.32 (2.94**)
Engagement Rating	.29 (2.68**)	.33 (3.08**)	.27 (2.45*)
Prior RFL	.14 (1.67)	.11 (1.34)	.17 (2.02*)
Experience			
Group (Treatment)	.42 (4.87**)	.37 (4.35**)	.31 (3.56**)
R <sup>2</sup>	.63	.67	.58
F	24.57**	28.42**	20.38**

<b>Table 4.</b> Multiple Lifear Regression Models for Language Proficien
--

Notes: \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01. Standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) are reported with t-values in parentheses.

The statistical models applied in this study were notably effective in explaining differences in learners' language proficiency. They accounted for a considerable share of the variation, with  $R^2$  values from .58 in reading up to .67 in speaking. In practical terms, this suggests that motivation, engagement, prior experience, and group assignment collectively explained between 58 % and 67 % of the variations in learners' performance (Cornillie et al., 2012). The results of the F-tests (p < .01) confirmed the significance of these factors and underscored their combined influence.

To better understand participants' experiences and perceptions, the study paired these quantitative results with a qualitative examination of interview transcripts and written feedback. This thematic analysis revealed recurring patterns that help clarify why the game-based approach proved successful. Many participants reported feeling more motivated and engaged, attributing their increased enthusiasm to the use of points, badges, and leaderboards. These game-like features seemed to instill a sense of healthy competition, prompting learners to invest more time and effort in practicing. "The game elements made the course more enjoyable and challenging," one participant noted, adding that "I looked forward to each lesson and tried hard to earn more points and badges."

Moreover, the tasks embedded in the game-based approach were perceived as highly relevant and closely aligned with real-life language use. By encountering situations that felt genuinely communicative and culturally contextualized, participants felt their linguistic skills were developing in a more meaningful way. One learner highlighted this by saying, "The games let me use Russian in a natural, practical manner. I wasn't just memorizing words and rules – I was actually communicating".

Another significant outcome was the strong sense of community and mutual support that emerged within the gamified learning environment. The collaborative nature of many activities seemed to reduce anxiety, encouraging learners to take risks and learn from each other's feedback. As one participant put it, "Working together in the games made me feel more connected to my classmates and less afraid of making mistakes. We supported each other, which really made the whole process more enjoyable and productive"

The modular configuration and stratified difficulty gradients of the implemented game-based tasks conferred substantial adaptability and individualized learning trajectories within the instructional setting (Plass et al., 2015). Participants reported valuing the opportunity to progress at a self-determined pace, concentrate on linguistically salient targets, and receive timely, precise pedagogical guidance. As one participant observed, "The games were challenging but not overwhelming. I could select activities aligned with my proficiency level and personal approach, and I received immediate feedback that helped me to improve".

European Journal of Contemporary Education. 2024. 13(4)



Fig. 2. Distribution of CEFR Levels in Treatment Group (Pre-test vs Post-test)

The integrated scrutiny of quantitative and qualitative datasets yielded a richly contextualized and comprehensive understanding of the efficacy associated with the game-based model. Statistical analyses established that the gamified paradigm fostered pronounced enhancements in linguistic performance, elevated motivational states, and intensified learner surpassing the benchmarks of conventional instructional methodologies. engagement. The magnitude and consistency of these positive outcomes, evident across various language skills and learner demographics, underscored the robust potential of this approach. In parallel, the qualitative dimensions of the inquiry illuminated the operative mechanisms supporting these including heightened motivational incentives, contextually meaningful gains, practice opportunities, socially supportive and collegial learning milieus, and instruction tailored to individual learners' evolving proficiencies. These narrative findings align closely with foundational theoretical frameworks and empirically validated principles of educational gamification (Reinders, Wattana, 2015; Reinhardt, 2019), while also offering vivid, context-specific illustrations of how the game-based design reshaped learners' attitudes, behaviors, and performance trajectories.

The convergence of these complementary lines of evidence fortified the persuasiveness and trustworthiness of the study's conclusions, as both numerical indicators and participant testimonies contributed mutually reinforcing insights (Thorne et al., 2012). This layered analysis disclosed a coherent constellation of favorable outcomes and subjective experiences linked to the game-based strategy, thereby boosting confidence in the durability, applicability, and pedagogical merit of the implemented intervention.

These results collectively establish a comprehensive and fine-grained portrait of the gamebased methodology's efficacy in RFL education. The multi-tiered analytical approach, encompassing both empirical metrics and narrative perspectives, consistently depicted beneficial impacts and learner satisfaction associated with the gamified paradigm. Statistical evaluations demonstrated that learners receiving the intervention surpassed control participants across all tested linguistic competencies (listening, speaking, reading, writing) while reporting more pronounced motivational and engagement indicators. Such findings were further substantiated by large effect sizes (Cohen's d > 0.8) and substantial proportions of explained variance in the regression models ( $R^2 = .58$  to .67), underscoring both the robustness and real-world applicability of the documented improvements.

To elucidate the determinants reinforcing the potency of the game-based strategy, a series of hierarchical multiple regression analyses was conducted. Table 5 provides detailed standardized

regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ), increments in explained variance ( $\Delta R^2$ ), and F-statistics for three predictive models concerning comprehensive language proficiency, motivational intensity, and learner engagement.

Predictor	<b>Overall Language Proficiency</b>	Motivation	Engagement			
Step 1						
Prior RFL Experience	.18*	.12	.15			
Age	.06	.03	.05			
$\Delta R^2$	.04	.02	.03			
F	2.45	1.18	1.79			
	Step 2					
Group (Treatment)	.48**	.56**	·53 <sup>**</sup>			
$\Delta R^2$	.22**	.30**	.27**			
F	18.63**	28.51**	24.92**			
	Step 3					
Motivation Level	.32**	-	-			
Engagement Rating	.29**	-	-			
$\Delta R^2$	.18**	-	-			
F	27.45**	-	-			
Total R <sup>2</sup>	.44	.32	.30			

Table 5.	Hierarchical	Multiple	Regression	Models
I unic "	monutement	manupic	regression	moucio

Notes: \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01. Standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) are reported.

The results indicate that group assignment (treatment vs. control) was the strongest predictor of overall language proficiency ( $\beta$  = .48, p < .01), motivation ( $\beta$  = .56, p < .01), and engagement ( $\beta$  = .53, p < .01), accounting for a significant proportion of the variance in these outcomes ( $\Delta R^2$  = .22 to .30, p < .01) after controlling for prior RFL experience and age. This finding underscores the pivotal influence of the game-based intervention on enhancing linguistic performance and affective dimensions, surpassing the explanatory power of individual learner characteristics (Blume, 2020; Calvo-Ferrer, 2017).

Motivation level ( $\beta$  = .32, p < .01) and engagement rating ( $\beta$  = .29, p < .01) emerged as significant predictors of overall language proficiency, contributing an additional 18 % of explained variance ( $\Delta R^2$  = .18, p < .01) once group assignment and background factors were taken into account. This result highlights the centrality of nurturing motivation and engagement in language learning and suggests that the effectiveness of the game-based approach may be partially mediated by its influence on these affective variables (Chen, Yang, 2013; Cornillie et al., 2012).

To assess the differential impact of the intervention on discrete language skills, a MANCOVA was conducted, incorporating group assignment as the independent variable, the four proficiency scores as dependent variables, and prior RFL experience and age as covariates. As shown in Table 6, the results revealed significant multivariate effects for group assignment (Wilks'  $\lambda = .68$ , F(4, 53) = 6.29, p < .001, partial  $\eta^2 = .32$ ) and prior RFL experience (Wilks'  $\lambda = .81$ , F(4, 53) = 3.12, p < .05, partial  $\eta^2 = .19$ ), but no significant effect of age (Wilks'  $\lambda = .92$ , F(4, 53) = 1.16, p = .34, partial  $\eta^2 = .08$ ).

	-				
Effect	Wilks' λ	F	df	р	Partia

Table 6. Multivariate Analysis of Covariance (MANCOVA) Results

Effect	Wilks' λ	F	df	р	Partial η <sup>2</sup>
Group (Treatment)	.68	6.29**	4,53	< .001	.32
Prior RFL Experience	.81	3.12*	4, 53	< .05	.19
Age	.92	1.16	4,53	.34	.08

Notes:\*p < .05, \*\*p < .01.

Follow-up univariate analyses, presented in Table 7, indicate that the treatment group significantly outperformed the control group on all four language proficiency measures, with the largest effect sizes observed for listening (F(1, 56) = 18.79, p < .001, partial  $\eta^2$  = .25) and speaking (F(1, 56) = 15.46, p < .001, partial  $\eta^2$  = .22), followed by writing (F(1, 56) = 12.37, p < .01, partial  $\eta^2$  = .18) and reading (F(1, 56) = 9.84, p < .01, partial  $\eta^2$  = .15). These findings suggest that the game-based approach was particularly effective in promoting the development of productive language skills (speaking and writing) and aural comprehension (listening), which are often considered more challenging to acquire than receptive skills (reading).

Dependent Variable	F	df	р	Partial η <sup>2</sup>
Listening Proficiency	18.79**	1, 56	< .001	.25
Speaking Proficiency	15.46**	1, 56	< .001	.22
<b>Reading Proficiency</b>	9.84**	1, 56	< .01	.15
Writing Proficiency	12.37**	1, 56	< .01	.18

Table 7. Univariate Follow-up Tests for Language Proficiency Measures

Notes: \*\*p < .01.

The qualitative analyses, anchored in a systematic thematic examination of participants' interview transcripts and reflective surveys, illuminated the core mechanisms underpinning the efficacy of the game-based intervention. Respondents consistently reported that the inclusion of game-related features intensified their intrinsic motivation and sustained engagement, attributing these outcomes to the presence of incremental achievement markers, tangible evidences of progress, and an enhanced sense of collective affinity among peers (Peterson, 2016). Concurrently, the incorporation of tasks situated within contextually rich cultural and communicative domains bolstered learners' linguistic competencies and intercultural understanding by immersing them in meaningful, goal-oriented interactions that approximated authentic target-language milieus (Plass et al., 2015). The emergent learning community, fostered through the gamified paradigm, engendered a climate of reciprocal encouragement, iterative peer evaluation, and collaborative scaffolding, thereby mitigating performance-related apprehensions and reinforcing participants' confidence in employing the target language (Reinders, Wattana, 2015). Equally salient, the intervention's modular and adaptive structure granted learners considerable autonomy, allowing them to progress at a pace suited to their individual aptitudes, concentrate on personalized linguistic aims, and benefit from prompt, finely tuned feedback aligned with their evolving skill sets (Reinhardt, 2019).



Fig. 3. Language Skills Improvement in Treatment Group

The integration of quantitative and qualitative evidence offers a robust and multifaceted perspective on the efficacy and operative dynamics of game-based methodologies in RFL contexts. By drawing upon diverse data sources and analytical frameworks, this convergence of findings lends credibility and trustworthiness to the conclusions reached. The results consistently underscore the favorable influence of the gamified intervention on language proficiency, motivational states, engagement levels, and participants' subjective satisfaction and perceived gains.

To elucidate the developmental trajectories and relative advantages of the game-based approach, a series of repeated measures ANOVAs were performed. Table 8 details significant main effects of time across all language proficiency indicators (p < .001), demonstrating that both experimental and control cohorts enhanced their linguistic competencies during the intervention period. However, the critical finding resides in the significant time × group interaction effects (p < .01). These interactions indicate that the experimental group's performance gains outpaced those of the control group, with the former exhibiting more pronounced improvement slopes and elevated post-test outcomes. Such results align with existing research on the accelerated acquisition trajectories associated with gamification (Tsai, Tsai, 2018).

Effect	F	df	р	Partial η <sup>2</sup>				
Listening Proficiency								
Time	68.42**	1, 58	< .001	•54				
Time × Group	14.95**	1, 58	< .001	.21				
	Speaking	Profici	ency					
Time	59.37**	1, 58	< .001	.51				
Time × Group	12.08**	1, 58	< .01	.17				
	Reading	Proficie	ency					
Time	48.26**	1, 58	< .001	·45				
Time × Group	8.93**	1, 58	< .01	.13				
Writing Proficiency								
Time	53.81**	1, 58	< .001	.48				
Time × Group	10.65**	1, 58	< .01	.16				

Table 8. Repeated Measures ANOVA Results for Language Proficiency Measures

Notes: \*\*p < .01.

A detailed comparison of how the game-based approach influenced different language skills and varying levels of proficiency uncovered several notable patterns. As shown in Figure 1, there was a significant interaction effect between the group assignment and specific skill areas. The participants who received the gamified intervention outperformed the control group most substantially in listening and speaking, followed by writing and then reading. This outcome aligns with previous research suggesting that aural comprehension and oral production often pose particular challenges in traditional language classrooms (Acquah & Katz, 2020). It appears that the applied methodology effectively addressed these difficulties, providing learners with more engaging and supportive avenues for developing these skills.

The analysis of the intervention's results across proficiency levels ranging from A1 to C1 (CEFR) revealed a similarly positive trend. As illustrated in Figure 2, the learners exposed to the game-based program achieved stronger gains at every stage of language development. This finding underscores the adaptability and scalability of the approach – its benefits were evident regardless of the learners' starting points. In practical terms, this suggests that the methodology can be readily calibrated to suit a wide range of learner profiles and developmental stages, thereby extending its potential utility across the entire spectrum of language acquisition (Chen et al., 2018).

#### 4. Discussion

The present study's integrated, multi-level analyses, encompassing both quantitative metrics and qualitative narratives, yielded a nuanced and comprehensive portrait of the game-based intervention's efficacy in RFL instruction. Participants exposed to the gamified methodology demonstrated significantly superior performance on all assessed language outcomes, with effect sizes ranging from d = 0.85 (reading) to d = 1.19 (listening), as summarized in Table 2. These substantial gains corroborate earlier research findings attesting to the pedagogical benefits of game-enhanced language learning (Hung et al., 2018; Peterson, 2016; Reinhardt, 2019). Hierarchical regression analyses (Table 5) revealed that group assignment ( $\beta = .48$ , p < .01), motivation ( $\beta$  = .32, p < .01), and engagement ( $\beta$  = .29, p < .01) accounted for 44 % of the variance in overall language proficiency, thereby confirming the theoretical tenets of Self-Determination Theory (Chen, Yang, 2013) and Flow Theory (Hung et al., 2018; Lester et al., 2014). This constellation of findings suggests that the gamified approach's effectiveness arises, in no small part, from its capacity to elevate affective factors integral to sustained language acquisition. Oualitative insights further reinforce this interpretation, as participants attributed intensified motivation and engagement to transparent progress indicators, game-like incentives, and visible peer comparisons (e.g., points, badges, leaderboards) (Peterson, 2016; Thorne et al., 2012).

MANCOVA tests (Table 6) revealed significant multivariate effects associated with group assignment (Wilks'  $\lambda$  = .68, F(4, 53) = 6.29, p < .001, partial  $\eta^2$  = .32) and prior RFL exposure (Wilks'  $\lambda$  = .81, F(4, 53) = 3.12, p < .05, partial  $\eta^2$  = .19), indicating that the game-based intervention's influence varied systematically across distinct language skills. The most pronounced improvements emerged in listening (F(1, 56) = 18.79, p<.001, partial  $\eta^2$  = .25) and speaking (F(1, 56) = 15.46, p < .001, partial  $\eta^2$  = .22), followed by writing (F(1, 56) = 12.37, p<.01, partial  $\eta^2$  = .18) and reading (F(1, 56) = 9.84, p < .01, partial  $\eta^2$  = .15). This hierarchy of gains suggests that gamification may particularly strengthen aural comprehension and oral fluency – skill areas often perceived as challenging in conventional classroom contexts (Acquah, Katz, 2020). Repeated measures ANOVAs (Table 8) further substantiated these patterns, identifying significant time × group interactions (p < .01) and steeper learning trajectories within the experimental cohort. In essence, the intervention not only accelerated the pace of language acquisition but also narrowed proficiency gaps that might otherwise persist among learners with diverse linguistic backgrounds (Tsai, Tsai, 2018).

Subgroup analyses aligned with CEFR benchmarks (A1–C1) consistently indicated pronounced benefits of the gamified approach at all proficiencies (Figure 2). Treatment participants exhibited an average net gain of 20.3 points in overall language proficiency, as opposed to the control group's 9.7-point increase, confirming the method's adaptability and scalability across the learning continuum (Chen et al., 2018). The qualitative data offered critical insights into the underlying mechanisms of this efficacy. Participants portrayed the gamified setting as intrinsically motivating and socially integrative, underscored by incremental achievements, transparent progression pathways, and communal engagement (Peterson, 2016). They further emphasized how context-rich, authentic communicative tasks fostered cultural awareness and pragmatic competence (Plass et al., 2015), while the supportive and cooperative environment mitigated anxiety and heightened learners' self-assurance in producing the target language (Reinders, Wattana, 2015). The modular, flexible architecture of the intervention facilitated ongoing personalization, allowing participants to focus on their individual goals and receive bespoke feedback attuned to their evolving capacities (Reinhardt, 2019).

The convergence of diverse data sources and analytical strategies reinforces the robustness, credibility, and interpretive depth of the present conclusions (Thorne et al., 2012). While these findings firmly establish the positive effects of gamification on language proficiency, motivation, and engagement, several caveats merit attention. The relatively limited sample size (N = 60) constrains broad generalization, and the intervention's temporal scope (one semester) may not fully capture long-term retention or maintenance effects. Future inquiries should expand the participant pool and adopt longitudinal designs to ascertain the durability and transferability of these outcomes.

The group of participants in this study, all adults without any prior experience studying Russian, inevitably brings up questions about whether certain characteristics – such as age, educational background, or prior familiarity with other foreign languages – might influence how effectively a game-based approach can improve learning. It remains an open question if older

learners or those with a history of studying related languages would respond similarly. Future investigations could probe these differences more directly, testing the adaptability of the approach across various learner profiles and environments. A further consideration lies in the fact that this research relied, to some degree, on self-reported measures for assessing motivation and engagement. While these accounts offered useful initial insights, they may have carried a risk of personal bias or influenced responses. Upcoming studies might incorporate more objective tools – such as detailed behavioral analytics or physiological tracking methods – to gain a richer, more accurate understanding of the factors guiding student involvement and long-term persistence.

Additionally, the study did not attempt to isolate the effect of individual elements within the game-based model. Because the approach combined several interconnected features – including structured challenges, interactive feedback loops, and opportunities for collaboration – it remains unclear which specific components drove the most significant gains. Future work might dissect these factors one by one, enabling educators to pinpoint which aspects are genuinely most effective and thus refine the overall design for even greater impact.

Despite these considerations, the findings here strongly suggest that a thoughtfully implemented game-driven strategy can substantially enhance both linguistic progress and sustained interest in learning Russian as a foreign language. The participants who engaged with the game-based materials showed clear improvements in core communicative skills, while also expressing higher levels of enthusiasm and willingness to continue their studies. This positive outcome underscores the potential of this approach not merely as a novelty, but as a durable, adaptable method suited to a wide range of educational contexts.

# 5. Conclusion

This research demonstrates that a carefully structured game-based approach can make a tangible difference in how learners acquire and refine their Russian language skills. Participants exposed to these interactive methods showed clear gains not only in listening, reading, and writing, but also in the more elusive realms of speaking and understanding spoken language. Beyond improved test results, learners described a heightened sense of accomplishment, a more confident engagement with the material, and stronger connections with their peers. They also indicated that tasks felt more meaningful, reflecting real-life situations rather than abstract exercises. Such consistent progress across various levels of proficiency suggests that this model can adapt to a wide range of learners' needs, transforming the classroom into a more dynamic, supportive, and encouraging environment. In sum, the findings point toward a promising avenue for enriching language education with techniques that capture learners' interest and sustain their commitment over time.

# References

Acquah and Katz, 2020 – *Acquah, E.O., Katz, H.T.* (2020). Digital game-based L2 learning outcomes for primary through high-school students: A systematic literature review. Computers & Education, 143, 103667. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103667

Alyaz, Genc, 2016 – Alyaz, Y., Genc, Z.S. (2016). Digital game-based language learning in foreign language teacher education. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*. 17(4): 130-146. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.09105

Berns et al., 2016 – Berns, A., Isla-Montes, J.L., Palomo-Duarte, M., Dodero, J.M. (2016). Motivation, students' needs and learning outcomes: A hybrid game-based app for enhanced language learning. *SpringerPlus*. 5(1): 1305. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2971-1

Blume, 2020 – Blume, C. (2020). Games people (don't) play: An analysis of pre-service EFL teachers' behaviors and beliefs regarding digital game-based language learning. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*. 33(1-2): 109-132. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2018.1552599

Calvo-Ferrer, 2017 – Calvo-Ferrer, J.R. (2017). Educational games as stand-alone learning tools and their motivational effect on L2 vocabulary acquisition and perceived learning gains. British Journal of Educational Technology. 48(2): 264-278. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12387

Chen and Yang, 2013 – Chen, H.J.H., Yang, T.Y.C. (2013). The impact of adventure video games on foreign language learning and the perceptions of learners. *Interactive Learning Environments*. 21(2): 129-141. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2012.705851

Chen et al., 2018 – *Chen, M.H., Tseng, W.T., Hsiao, T.Y.* (2018). The effectiveness of digital game-based vocabulary learning: A framework-based view of meta-analysis. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 49(1): 69-77. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12526

Cornillie et al., 2012 – Cornillie, F., Thorne, S.L., Desmet, P. (2012). Digital games for language learning: From hype to insight? *ReCALL*. 24(3): 243-256. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344012000134

Council of Europe, 2001 – Council of Europe, 2001. [Electronic resource]. URL: https://www.coe.int/en/web/portal/home

Franciosi et al., 2016 – *Franciosi, S.J., Yagi, J., Tomoshige, Y., Ye, S.* (2016). The effect of a simple simulation game on long-term vocabulary retention. *CALICO Journal*. 33(3): 355-379. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1558/cj.v33i2.26063

Hung et al., 2018 – Hung, H.T., Yang, J.C., Hwang, G.J., Chu, H.C., Wang, C.C. (2018). A scoping review of research on digital game-based language learning. *Computers & Education*. 126: 89-104. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.07.001

Hwang and Wang, 2016 – Hwang, G.J., Wang, S.Y. (2016). Single loop or double loop learning: English vocabulary learning performance and behavior of students in situated computer games with different guiding strategies. *Computers & Education*. 102: 188-201. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.07.005

Lester et al., 2014 – Lester, J.C., Spires, H.A., Nietfeld, J.L., Minogue, J., Mott, B.W., Lobene, E.V. (2014). Designing game-based learning environments for elementary science education: A narrative-centered learning perspective. *Information Sciences*. 264: 4-18. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2013.09.005

Peterson, 2016 – *Peterson, M.* (2016). The use of computerized games and simulations in computer-assisted language learning: A meta-analysis of research. *Simulation & Gaming.* 41(1): 72-93. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1046878109355684

Plass et al., 2015 – Plass, J.L., Homer, B.D., Kinzer, C.K. (2015). Foundations of game-based learning. *Educational Psychologist.* 50(4): 258-283. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520. 2015.1122533

Reinders, Wattana, 2015 – *Reinders, H., Wattana, S.* (2015). Affect and willingness to communicate in digital game-based learning. *ReCALL*. 27(1): 38-57. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344014000226

Reinhardt, 2019 – *Reinhardt, J.* (2019). Gameful second and foreign language teaching and learning: Theory, research, and practice. Palgrave Macmillan. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-04729-0

Sykes, Reinhardt, 2013 – *Sykes, J.M., Reinhardt, J.* (2013). Language at play: Digital games in second and foreign language teaching and learning. Pearson Education.

Thorne et al., 2012 – Thorne, S.L., Fischer, I., Lu, X. (2012). The semiotic ecology and linguistic complexity of an online game world. *ReCALL*. 24(3): 279-301. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344012000158

Tsai, Tsai, 2018 – *Tsai, Y.L., Tsai, C.C.* (2018). Digital game-based second-language vocabulary learning and conditions of research designs: A meta-analysis study. *Computers & Education.* 125: 345-357. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.06.020

York and DeHaan, 2018 – York, J., DeHaan, J.W. (2018). A constructivist approach to gamebased language learning: Student perceptions in a beginner-level EFL context. *International Journal of Game-Based Learning*. 8(1): 19-40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4018/IJGBL.2018010102